

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,805		07/14/2003	Tomoyoshi Yamashita	04791-5006-01	4167	
9629	7590	06/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		VIS & BOCKIUS I VANIA AVENUE N	LEE, GUIYOUNG			
		DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2875		
				DATE MAIL FD: 06/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/617,805	YAMASHITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
·	Guiyoung Lee	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	-			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers			•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No Id in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0703.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/617,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

- 1. This application discloses and claims only subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. 10/013,671, filed 12/13/2001, and names an inventor or inventors named in the prior application. Accordingly, this application may constitute a continuation or division. Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of the filing date of the prior application, attention is directed to 35 U.S.C. 120 and 37 CFR 1.78.
- 2. This application filed under former 37 CFR 1.60 lacks the necessary reference to the prior application. A statement reading "This is a Continuation of Application No. 10/013,671, filed 12/31/2001." should be entered following the title of the invention or as the first sentence of the specification. Also, the current status of all nonprovisional parent applications referenced should be included.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 6 recites the limitation "the same pitch" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,805

Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,669,350 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims 1-33 in the application defined an invention is merely an obvious variation of an invention in the U.S. Patent No. 6,669,350. All of the claims in this application are identical or shares common subject matter with the claims in the U.S. Patent 6,669,350. For example, Claims 1-4 in this application are identical with claim 1 in the patent, claim 5 in this application shares common subject matter with claim 2 in the patent, claims 6 in the application is identical with claim 3 in the patent, and so on. Therefore, the subject matter in this application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

7. Claims 1-3, 9-10, 23-25, and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Atsushi Saito (JP 2001-143512A) cited by Applicant.

Re claims 1, 2, 23: Saito teaches a planar light source system having at least one substantially point-shaped light source (1 in Fig. 1), a light guide (3) having a light incident face and a light emission face, a light deflecting device (5), wherein the light source is disposed at a corner portion (22 in Fig. 8), and many arc-shaped elongated lenses are formed in parallel to each other on at least one surface of the light deflecting device (See Fig. 1).

Re claim 3 and 25: Saito teaches that each of the elongated lenses comprises an elongated prism having two prism faces and light incident through at least one prism face is internally reflected and emitted from a light emission surface (See Fig. 1).

Re claim 9, 24, and 31: Saito teaches that the light deflecting device has elongated lenses formed on at least a light emission surface at the opposite side to a light incident surface (Fig. 1).

Re claims 10 and 32: Saito teaches that the light deflecting device refracts light incident through the light incident surface and emits the light from the light emission surface (6 in Fig 1).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guiyoung Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 2875

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LGY

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
(Technology Center 2800)